


INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference F18754 GSK		FOR FURTHER ACTION		See Form PCT/IPEA/416
International application No. PCT/IB2005/000192		International filing date (day/month/year) 27.01.2005	Priority date (day/month/year) 28.01.2004	
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC INV. C12N9/96 C12N9/20				
Applicant CSIR et al.				
<p>1. This report is the international preliminary examination report, established by this International Preliminary Examining Authority under Article 35 and transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.</p> <p>2. This REPORT consists of a total of 5 sheets, including this cover sheet.</p> <p>3. This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, comprising:</p> <p>a. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> sent to the applicant and to the International Bureau a total of 5 sheets, as follows:</p> <p style="margin-left: 40px;"><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis of this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions).</p> <p style="margin-left: 40px;"><input type="checkbox"/> sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an amendment that goes beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental Box.</p> <p>b. <input type="checkbox"/> (sent to the International Bureau only) a total of (indicate type and number of electronic carrier(s)) , containing a sequence listing and/or tables related thereto, in electronic form only, as indicated in the Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see Section 802 of the Administrative Instructions).</p>				
<p>4. This report contains indications relating to the following items:</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Box No. I Basis of the report</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Box No. II Priority</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Box No. VI Certain documents cited</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application</p>				
Date of submission of the demand 11.11.2005		Date of completion of this report 24.04.2006		
Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority:  European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465		Authorized officer Valcarcel, R Telephone No. +49 89 2399-2368		



**INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT
ON PATENTABILITY**

International application No.
PCT/IB2005/000192

Box No. I Basis of the report

1. With regard to the **language**, this report is based on the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
- ☐ This report is based on translations from the original language into the following language , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of:
- ☐ international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b))
 - ☐ publication of the international application (under Rule 12.4)
 - ☐ international preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3)
2. With regard to the **elements*** of the international application, this report is based on *(replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report)*:

Description, Pages

1-16 as originally filed

Claims, Numbers

1-29 filed with the demand

Drawings, Sheets

1/4-4/4 as originally filed

☐ a sequence listing and/or any related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing

3. ☐ The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:
- ☐ the description, pages
 - ☐ the claims, Nos.
 - ☐ the drawings, sheets/figs
 - ☐ the sequence listing (*specify*):
 - ☐ any table(s) related to sequence listing (*specify*):
4. ☐ This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).
- ☐ the description, pages
 - ☐ the claims, Nos.
 - ☐ the drawings, sheets/figs
 - ☐ the sequence listing (*specify*):
 - ☐ any table(s) related to sequence listing (*specify*):

* If item 4 applies, some or all of these sheets may be marked "superseded."

**INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT
ON PATENTABILITY**

International application No.
PCT/IB2005/000192

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)	Yes: Claims	1-29
	No: Claims	NONE
Inventive step (IS)	Yes: Claims	1-29
	No: Claims	NONE
Industrial applicability (IA)	Yes: Claims	1-29
	No: Claims	NONE

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7):

see separate sheet

Re Item V

1. The document numbering corresponds to the order of citation in the International Search Report.
2. The subject-matter of claims 1-29 is new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.

D1 discloses a process for producing an enzyme (glucose oxidase) preparation, wherein an aqueous solution comprising an enzyme is emulsified with an hydrophobic phase (see claim 5, e.g. a perfluoropolyalkylether synthetic oil, and see column 10, lines 20-23), and treated with a crosslinker (see claim 1, e.g. glutaraldehyde, see column 10, line 59), so that the enzyme is crosslinked (see claim 1).

Thus, the emulsion of the enzyme and the perfluorocarbon liquid is stabilized by chemical crosslinking of the enzyme to form a gel. This gel is used to for the immobilization of enzymes in a detector for glucose determination (see abstract).

However, in D1 there are apparently no enzyme particles formed but rather a continuous gel. The particles which are disclosed in D1 are the particles of the material that dissolve the oxygen and not enzyme particles (see column 8, lines 56-59).

Furthermore, the method of D1 does not include an step of recovering the enzyme particles from the hydrophobic (O) phase. Thus, the subject-matter of claims 1-29 is novel over D1.

It is noted that in the methods of D1, the oil phase content used is between 5% and 20% by volume (column 9, lines 43-45). It appears that at such low oil concentration only oil in water (O/W) emulsions would be formed, and not water in oil (W/O) emulsions as it is the case under the process defined in claim 1 of the present application.

3. Claims 1-29 meet the criteria of Article 33(3) PCT. D3 is regarded as being the closest prior art to the subject-matter of claim 1 since it relates to the same field as the present application, the generation of enzyme particles (in particular lipase particles) which can be used as catalysts. D3 discloses cross-linked enzyme aggregates (CLEAs) by precipitating lipases with different agents and by chemical cross-linking of the enzyme

(see abstract). D3 also disclose cross-linked enzyme crystals (CLECs) which are highly active and stable biocatalysts (see page 1379, left column, first paragraph).

The subject-matter of claim 1 differs from the process of D3 in that in D3 there is no water in oil (W/O) emulsion step before cross-linking the enzyme molecules, and therefore, also there is not recovery from the second liquid phase.

The problem to be solved by the present invention may be regarded as to provide an alternative process for producing stabilized enzyme particles suitable for use as a catalyst. The solution to this problem proposed in claim 1 of the present application is considered as involving an inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT) for the following reasons:

In both CLEC and CLEA, some active sties of the enzymes are not exposed (see e.g. figure 1 of D3). Thus, there was an incentive to provide alternative methods to provide enzyme particles.

Water-in-oil and water-in-oil-in-water emulsions for the preparation of enzyme microspheres for protein delivery were standard in the art (see e.g. abstract or figure 1 of D2; pages 53 and 54 of D4; or figure 4 of D5). However, it is considered that there was no motivation in the prior art to combine the teachings of D3 with the teaching of documents relating for protein delivery in order to add a emulsion step before the corsslinking as referred to in claim 1 of the present application. Thus, claim 1 of the present application is considered inventive.

- 3.1 Claim 24 refers to enzyme particles which (although not referring back to the method of claim 1) have the technical features of particles obtained by the method of claim 1. Thus, also claim 24 is considered inventive.
- 3.2 Claims 2-23, and 25-29 are defined in terms of claims 1 and 24 and as such also meet the requirements of the PCT with respect to novelty and inventive step.